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#### Abstract

We compare the degree of approximation to $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$ by $n$th degree trigonometric polynomials, with the degree of approximation by trigonometric $n$-nomials, which are linear combinations, with constant (complex) coefficients, of any $2 n+1$ members of the sequence $\{\exp (i k x)\},-\infty<k<\infty$.


If $n$ is a nonnegative integer and $A_{n}$ is a set of $2 n+1$ distinct integers, we call a function $P(x)$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(x)=\sum_{k \in A_{n}} a_{k} \exp (i k x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $a_{k}$ are complex constants, a trigonometric n-nomial. We investigate the degree of approximation to the space $L^{2}(-\pi, \pi)$ of complex-valued, square integrable functions on $(-\pi, \pi)$ by trigonometric $n$-nomials, and compare it to the degree of approximation by the set $\mathscr{T}_{n}$ of ordinary $n$th degree trigonometric polynomials.

For the purpose of comparison we concentrate our attention on the subset $\mathscr{S}$ of $L^{2}$ which consists of those $f \in L^{2}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{f}(h) \leqslant h \quad \text { for all } \quad h \geqslant 0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{f}(h)$ is the $L^{2}$ modulus of continuity of $f$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{f}(h)=\sup _{|t| \leqslant h}\|f(x+t)-f(x)\|_{L^{2}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout the paper, $f$ will be an $L^{2}$ function with Fourier coefficients $c_{k},-\infty<k<\infty$. We let $B_{n}(f)$ be the set of $2 n+1$ integers $k$ where the maximum values of $\left|c_{k}\right|$ occur. In cases of equality, we take the $k$ with smaller absolute value, and then (if necessary) we take $|k|$ before $-|k|$.

Given $A_{n}$, we let $P\left(A_{n}\right)$ denote the set of all functions of the form (1), and we let $P_{n}$ denote the set of all trigonometric $n$-nomials (i.e., the set of all $P \in P\left(A_{n}\right)$ for all $\left.A_{n}\right)$. We define the following degrees of approximation:
(a) $E\left(f, A_{n}\right)=\inf _{P \in P\left(A_{n}\right)}\|f-P\|$,
(b) $\mathscr{E}\left(\mathscr{S}, A_{n}\right)=\sup _{f \in \mathscr{S}} E\left(f, A_{n}\right)$,
(c) $\quad \mathscr{E}_{n}^{*}(\mathscr{S})=\sup _{f \in \mathscr{S}} \inf _{T \in \mathscr{F}_{n}}\|f-T\|$,
(d) $\quad D_{n}(f)=\inf _{P \in P_{n}}\|f-P\|$,
(e) $\quad \mathscr{D}_{n}(\mathscr{F})=\sup _{f \in \mathscr{S}} D_{n}(f)$.

Thus, we wish to compare $\mathscr{E}_{n}{ }^{*}(\mathscr{S})$ and $\mathscr{D}_{n}(\mathscr{S})$.
Our principal tool for studying $\mathscr{S}$ will be the following well known lemma, which we prove for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 1. $f \in \mathscr{S}$ if and only if $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \leqslant 1$.
Proof. A straightforward calculation shows that

$$
\left[\omega_{f}(h)\right]^{2}=\sup _{|t| \leqslant h} 2 \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}(1-\cos k t) .
$$

Thus, we must show that

$$
\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \frac{1-\cos k t}{\frac{1}{2} h^{2}} \leqslant 1 \quad \text { for all } \quad|t| \leqslant h
$$

if and only if $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \leqslant 1$.
First, suppose that $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \leqslant 1$. Then, since $1-\cos x \leqslant \frac{1}{2} x^{2}$ for all $x$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \frac{1-\cos k t}{\frac{1}{2} h^{2}} & \leqslant \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \frac{\frac{1}{2}(k t)^{2}}{\frac{1}{2} h^{2}}=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \frac{t^{2}}{h^{2}} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \leqslant 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose, on the other hand, that $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}>1$, so that $\sum_{A}^{B} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}=$ $\rho>1$ for two integers $A<B$.

If we let $\epsilon=\rho-1$ and rewrite $\rho$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho & =\sum_{A}^{B}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \frac{1-\left(1-\frac{1}{2} k^{2} h^{2}\right)}{\frac{1}{2} h^{2}} \\
& =\sum_{A}^{B}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \frac{1-\cos k h}{\frac{1}{2} h^{2}}+\sum_{A}^{B}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \frac{\cos k h-\left(1-\frac{1}{2} k^{2} h^{2}\right)}{\frac{1}{2} h^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we see that, since

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\cos k h-\left(1-\frac{1}{2} k^{2} h^{2}\right)}{\frac{1}{2} h^{2}}=0
$$

we can take $h$ small enough so that

$$
0<\sum_{A}^{B}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \frac{\cos k h-\left(1-\frac{1}{2} k^{2} h^{2}\right)}{\frac{1}{2} h^{2}}<\epsilon
$$

and then

$$
\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \frac{1-\cos k h}{\frac{1}{2} h^{2}} \geqslant \sum_{A}^{B}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \frac{1-\cos k h}{\frac{1}{2} h^{2}}>\rho-\epsilon=1,
$$

and Lemma 1 is proved.
For our first theorem we have (in our notation) the well known characterization of best approximation in $L^{2}$.

Theorem 1. $\quad\left[E\left(f, A_{n}\right)\right]^{2}=\sum_{k \notin A_{n}}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}$.
By applying Lemma 1, along with Theorem 1, we get the following theorem.

Theorem 2. If $0 \in A_{n}$, then $\mathscr{E}\left(\mathscr{P}, A_{n}\right)=1 / \gamma$, where $\gamma=\gamma\left(A_{n}\right)=$ $\min _{k \notin A_{n}}|k|$. If $0 \notin A_{n}$, then $\mathscr{E}\left(\mathscr{S}, A_{n}\right)=+\infty$.

Proof. The case when $0 \notin A_{n}$ is trivial, so assume that $0 \in A_{n}$. Let $f \in \mathscr{S}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \geqslant \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}=\sum_{k \in A_{n}} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}+\sum_{k \in A_{n}} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \\
& \geqslant \sum_{k \notin A_{n}} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \geqslant \gamma^{2} \sum_{k \in A_{n}}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, for $f \in \mathscr{S}$,

$$
\left[E\left(f, A_{n}\right)\right]^{2}=\sum_{k \notin A_{n}}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \leqslant 1 / \gamma^{2}, \quad \text { or } \quad \mathscr{E}\left(\mathscr{S}, A_{n}\right) \leqslant 1 / \gamma
$$

and the upper bound can be achieved by taking $g(x)=\gamma^{-1} \exp (i \gamma x)$ if $\gamma=k$, or $g(x)=\gamma^{-1} \exp (-i \gamma x)$ if $\gamma=-k$.

By applying Theorem 2 to the set $A_{n}=\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm n\}$ we immediately obtain the following corollary.

Corollary. $\quad \mathscr{E}_{n}{ }^{*}(\mathscr{P})=1 /(n+1)$.
For our first result concerning the set $P_{n}$ we have the following theorem.
Theorem 3. $\quad\left[D_{n}(f)\right]^{2}=\sum_{k \notin B_{n}(f)}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}$.
Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 1 and the fact that $D_{n}(f)=\inf E\left(f, A_{n}\right)$, where the infimum is taken over all possible $A_{n}$.

We now have our main result, which gives the exact value of $\mathscr{D}_{n}(\mathscr{S})$.

Theorem 4. $\left[\mathscr{D}_{n}(\mathscr{S})\right]^{2}=4 /((3 n+1)(3 n+2))$.
Proof. In order to prove Theorem 4 we require two lemmas, the first of which follows.

Lemma 2. Let $\mathscr{S}^{*}=\left\{g: g \in \mathscr{S}\right.$ and $\left.B_{n}(g)=\{0, \pm 1, \ldots, \pm n\}\right\}$. If $f \in \mathscr{P}$, then there is a $g \in \mathscr{S}^{*}$ such that $D_{n}(g)=D_{n}(f)$.

Proof. Define the following sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\left\{k:|k| \leqslant n \text { and } k \in B_{n}(f)\right\}, \\
& Q=\left\{k:|k|>n \text { and } k \in B_{n}(f)\right\}, \\
& R=\left\{k:|k| \leqslant n \text { and } k \notin B_{n}(f)\right\}, \\
& S=\left\{k:|k|>n \text { and } k \notin B_{n}(f)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously $Q$ and $R$ contain the same number of integers, and we let $q \leftrightarrow r$ be a one-to-one correspondence between these two sets. We now define the function $g(x)$ by $g(x) \sim \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} b_{k} \exp (i k x)$, where

$$
b_{k}= \begin{cases}c_{k} & \text { if } k \in P \text { or } \quad k \in S \\ c_{q} & \text { if } k=r \in R \\ c_{r} & \text { if } k=q \in Q\end{cases}
$$

Clearly Theorem 3 implies that $D_{n}(g)=D_{n}(f)$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|b_{k}\right|^{2} & =\sum_{k \in P} k^{2}\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}+\sum_{k \in O} k^{2}\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}+\sum_{k \in R} k^{2}\left|b_{k}\right|^{2}+\sum_{k \in S} k^{2}\left|b_{k}\right|^{2} \\
& =\sum_{k \in P} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}+\sum_{k \in S} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}+\sum_{q \in O} q^{2}\left|c_{r}\right|^{2}+\sum_{r \in R} r^{2}\left|c_{q}\right|^{2} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \leqslant 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first inequality follows from the fact that $\left|c_{q}\right| \geqslant\left|c_{r}\right|$ and $r^{2}<q^{2}$, so that $r^{2}\left|c_{q}\right|^{2}+q^{2}\left|c_{r}\right|^{2} \leqslant r^{2}\left|c_{r}\right|^{2}+q^{2}\left|c_{q}\right|^{2}$ for all $q=q(r) \in Q$ and $r=r(q) \in R$. Thus $g \in \mathscr{S}^{*}$, and the proof of Lemma 2 is complete.

Returning to Theorem 4, we see that $\mathscr{D}_{n}(\mathscr{S})=\sup _{f \in \mathscr{S} *} D_{n}(f)$. Therefore, by Theorem 3, we have $\left[\mathscr{D}_{n}(\mathscr{S})\right]^{2}=\max \sum|k|>n\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}$, where the maximum is taken over all sequences $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ satisfying
(i) $\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \leqslant 1$ and
(ii) $|j| \leqslant n<|m|$ implies $\left|c_{m}\right| \leqslant\left|c_{j}\right|$.

We let $\alpha$ be this maximum, and we let $\beta=\max \sum_{k>n} a_{k}{ }^{2}$, where the maximum is taken over all sequences $\left\{a_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ satisfying
(iii) $i \leqslant n<j$ implies $0 \leqslant a_{j} \leqslant a_{i}$ and
(iv) $\Sigma_{1}^{\infty} k^{2} a_{k}{ }^{2} \leqslant 1$.

For our final lemma we have the following.
Lemma 3. $\alpha=\beta$.
Proof. Given a sequence $\left\{c_{k}\right\}$ satisfying (i) and (ii), define $a_{k} \geqslant 0$ by $a_{k}{ }^{2}=\left|c_{-k}\right|^{2}+\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}, k \geqslant 1$. Then

$$
\sum_{1}^{\infty} k^{2} a_{k}^{2}=\sum_{1}^{\infty} k^{2}\left[\left|c_{-k}\right|^{2}+\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}\right]=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2} \leqslant 1,
$$

and $1 \leqslant i<n<j$ implies $a_{j}{ }^{2}=\left|c_{-j}\right|^{2}+\left|c_{j}\right|^{2} \leqslant\left|c_{-i}\right|^{2}+\left|c_{i}\right|^{2}=a_{i}{ }^{2}$. Furthermore, $\sum_{k>n} a_{k e}{ }^{2}=\sum_{|k|>n}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}$, so that $\beta \geqslant \alpha$.

On the other hand, suppose $\left\{a_{k}\right\}_{1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence satisfying (iii) and (iv). Define $\left\{c_{k}\right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ by $c_{-k}^{2}=c_{k}{ }^{2}=\frac{1}{2} a_{k}{ }^{2}$ for $k \geqslant 1$, and $c_{0}=\max _{1 \leqslant k<\infty} a_{k}$. Then

$$
\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} k^{2}\left|c_{k}\right|^{2}=\sum_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} k^{2} a_{i k \mid}^{2}=\sum_{1}^{\infty} k^{2} a_{k}^{2} \leqslant 1,
$$

while $0<|i| \leqslant n<|j|$ implies $c_{j}{ }^{2}=\frac{1}{2} a_{|j|}^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2} a_{|i|}^{2}=c_{i}^{2}$, and again $\sum_{k>n} a_{k}^{2}=\sum_{|k|>n} c_{k}{ }^{2}$. Thus, $\alpha \geqslant \beta$, so that $\alpha=\beta$, completing the proof of Lemma 3.

To conclude the proof of Theorem 4 it remains to evaluate $\beta=\left[\mathscr{D}_{n}(\mathscr{S})\right]^{2}$. Toward this end, we let $J=\left[\frac{1}{2}(n+1)\right]$, and we observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{n+J-1} k^{2}>(J-1)(n+J)^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J(n+J+1)^{2}>\sum_{k=1}^{n+J} k^{2} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (iv), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1-\sum_{1}^{n} k^{2} a_{k}^{2} \geqslant \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} k^{2} a_{k}^{2} \geqslant \sum_{n+1}^{n+J} k^{2} a_{k}^{2}+(n+J+1)^{2} \sum_{n+J+1}^{\infty} a_{k}^{2} . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (iii) and (4), we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{J} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n+J} k^{2}-J(n+i)^{2}}{J} a_{n+i}^{2} & \leqslant \min _{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n} a_{k}{ }^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{J} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n+J} k^{2}-J(n+i)^{2}}{J} \\
& =\min _{1 \leqslant k \leqslant n} a_{k}{ }^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2} \leqslant \sum_{k=1}^{n} k^{2} a_{k}{ }^{2} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (6) and (7) with (5) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & \geqslant \sum_{i=1}^{J} \frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n+J} k^{2}-J(n+i)^{2}}{J} a_{n+i}^{2}+\sum_{k=n+1}^{n+J} k^{2} a_{k}^{2}+(n+J+1)^{2} \sum_{k=n+J+1}^{\infty} a_{k}^{2} \\
& =\sum_{i=1}^{J}\left[\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{n+J} k^{2}-J(n+i)^{2}}{J}+(n+i)^{2}\right] a_{n+i}^{2}+(n+J+1)^{2} \sum_{k=n+J+1}^{\infty} a_{k}^{2} \\
& =1 / J \sum_{k=1}^{n+J} k^{2} \sum_{m=n+1}^{n+J} a_{m}{ }^{2}+(n+J+1)^{2} \sum_{k=n+J+1}^{\infty} a_{k}{ }^{2} \\
& =1 / J \sum_{k=1}^{n+J} k^{2} \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} a_{m}^{2}+\left[(n+J+1)^{2}-1 / J \sum_{k=1}^{n+J} k^{2}\right] \sum_{m=n+J+1}^{\infty} a_{m}{ }^{2} \\
& \geqslant 1 / J \sum_{k=1}^{n+J} k^{2} \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} a_{m}{ }^{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k>n} a_{k}^{2} \leqslant J / \sum_{k=1}^{n+J} k^{2} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, the upper bound in (8) can be attained by setting

$$
a_{i}{ }^{2}= \begin{cases}1 / \sum_{k=1}^{n+J} k^{2}, & \text { for } \quad 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n+J, \\ 0 & \text { for } \quad i>n+J\end{cases}
$$

It is clear that with this choice for $\left\{a_{i}\right\}$, (iii) and (iv) are satisfied, and

$$
\sum_{k>n} a_{k}{ }^{2}=J / \sum_{k=1}^{n+J} k^{2}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\beta=\left[\mathscr{D}_{n}(\mathscr{S})\right]^{2}=J / \sum_{k=1}^{n+J} k^{2},
$$

and Theorem 4 now follows immediately from the formula

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{N} k^{2}=(N(N+1)(2 N+1)) / 6 .
$$

Finally, combining the corollary to Theorem 2 with Theorem 4, we see that $\mathscr{D}_{n}(\mathscr{S})$ is asymptotic to $\frac{2}{3} \mathscr{C}_{n}{ }^{*}(\mathscr{S})$, and our comparison of these two means of approximation in $\mathscr{S}$ is complete.

